Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 22, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 95–4840 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

New Era Bancorporation, Inc.; Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organization listed in this notice has applied under $\S 225.23(a)(2)$ or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources. decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 14, 1995

A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166:

1. New Era Bancorporation, Inc., Fredericktown, Missouri; to acquire up to 9.9 percent of St. Francois County Financial Corp., Farmington, Missouri, and thereby acquire St. Francois County Savings and Loan Association, Fredericktown, Missouri, and thereby engage in operating a thrift institution, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(9) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 22, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 95–4841 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

Ogle County Bancshares; Notice of Applications to Engage de novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage de novo, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 14, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (James A. Bluemle, Vice President) 230

South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. Ogle County Bancshares, Rochelle, Illinois; to engage de novo in making and servicing loans, pursuant to §225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 22, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 95–4842 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

Peoples Independent Bancshares, Inc., et al.; Formations of; Acquisitions by; and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) to become a bank holding company or to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received not later than March 24, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Zane R. Kelley, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. Peoples Independent Bancshares, Inc., Boaz, Alabama; to merge with Randolph Bancshares, Inc., Roanoke, Alabama, and thereby indirectly acquire First Bank, Wadley, Alabama.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (John E. Yorke, Senior Vice
President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas
City, Missouri 64198:

I. Community Bancshares, Inc., Neosho, Missouri; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Seneca Management Company, Seneca, Missouri, and thereby indirectly acquire State Bank of Seneca, Seneca, Missouri.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 22, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 95–4843 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Dkt. C-2456]

Amerada Hess Corporation, et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Set Aside Order.

SUMMARY: This order reopens a 1973 consent order—which required that the Clarco Pipe Line be divested and prohibited Amerada, VGS Corporation and Clarco Pipe Line Company from acquiring assets related to the transportation or refining of crude oil produced in either Mississippi or Alabama without prior Commission approval—and sets aside the consent order pursuant to the Commission's Sunset Policy Statement, under which the Commission presumes that the public interest require setting aside competition orders in effect for more than 30 years.

DATES: Consent order issued September 18, 1973. Set aside order issued January 3, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Ducore, FTC/S-2115, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-2526

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Matter of Amerada Hess Corporation, et al. The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions are removed as indicated.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 18)

Order Reopening Proceeding and Setting Aside Order

Commissioners: Janet D. Steiger, Chairman, Mary L. Azcuenaga, Roscoe B. Starek, III, Christine A. Varney.

On September 12, 1994, Amerada Hess Corporation ("Amerada Hess") filed a Request to Reopen and Vacate Order ("Request") in this matter.¹ Amerada Hess requests that the Commission set aside the 1978 consent order in this matter, pursuant to Rule 2.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.51, and the Commission's July 22, 1994, Statement of Policy with Respect to Duration of Competition Orders and Statement of Intention to Solicit Public Comment with Respect to Duration of Consumer Protection Orders ("Sunset Policy Statement").²

Leon Hess, also a respondent in this matter, joined in Amerada Hess's Request, by letter dated September 21, 1994. Southland Oil Company, successor to respondent VGS Corporation, filed a Statement in Support of Request to Reopen and Vacate Order on October 21, 1994. In addition, on October 20, 1994, Hunt Refining Company, the purchaser of assets from respondent Clarco Pipe Line Company, filed a petition requesting, among other things, that the Commission reopen the proceeding and vacate the order as to Hunt ("Petition"). Amerada Hess's Request, Hunt's Petition and the information supplied by Leon Hess and Southland Oil Company were placed on the public record pursuant to Section 2.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 16 CFR 2.51.3 No comments were received

The Commission in its July 22, 1994, Sunset Policy Statement said, in relevant part, that "effective immediately, the Commission will presume, in the context of petitions to reopen and modify existing orders, that the public interest requires setting aside orders in effect for more than twenty years." ⁴

The Commission's order in Docket No. C–2456 was issued on September 18, 1973, and has been in effect for more than twenty-one years. Consistent with the Commission's July 22, 1994, Sunset Policy Statement, the presumption is that the order should be terminated. Nothing to overcome the presumption having been presented, the Commission has determined to reopen the proceeding and set aside the order in Docket No. C–2456.

Accordingly, it is ordered that this matter be, and it hereby is, reopened;

It is further ordered that the Commission's order in Docket No. C– 2456 be, and it hereby is, set aside, as of the effective date of this order. By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4861 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]

[Dkt. C-3553]

Baby Furniture Plus Association, Inc.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, an Alabama-based buying cooperative and trade association from taking any action on behalf of its members, or encouraging them to take any action, that interferes with a juvenile product manufacturer's decision as to how or to whom to distribute its products. The consent order also prohibits the respondent from coercing-by means of actual or threatened refusals to deal-any juvenile products manufacturer to abandon or adopt—or to refrain from abandoning or adopting—any marketing method for its products.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued January 18, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phoebe Morse, Boston Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 101 Merrimac St., Suite 810, Boston, MA. 02114–4719. (617) 424–5960.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Tuesday, November 1, 1994, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 59 FR 54601, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Baby Furniture Plus Association, Inc., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

¹ See Amerada Hess Corp., 83 F.T.C. 487 (1973).

²The Sunset Policy Statement is published at 59 FR 45,286 (Sept. 1, 1994).

 $^{^3}$ The fifth respondent named in the order died in 1989.

⁴Sunset Policy Statement, 59 FR at 45,289.

¹ Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and Commissioner Azcuenaga's statement are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H–130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20580.